App. F. IX-4

1927-28

File No. 51-27

Previous References (1-3) - (Prison).
Pris 28-22
Pris 68-24 (1+2)
" 42 24 (5-8)
" 42-24 (1-4)
" 45-23 (3-4)
" 45-23 (1-2)
Later References 23 (1-2)
Pris 68-30 541-7
Pris 12-46 767

Serial Nos. 1 to 5

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. Five

Part B for August 1928.

SUBJECT

Placing Special Magistrate in charge of the lockup duties during the absence of the District Medical Officer from HQ Qrs.

Nos 5

To The D. S. of Prison.

No Cy. 2214 dt 16: 8: 28

Slates that the proposal for placing the Spl. Magistrate in charge of lockup might be dropped.

pm

3411/P827 5065

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. 51 of 1927.

Serial No 1

From the D.G. of Posts & Telegraphs
Bangalore
to C 627-2. 22872-27
Regret for reasons given [illegible]
to all the Pubs of the Rockhaps Manual
So that in all the Head Quarters where Special Magazines are available they may be placed in charge of the Rockhaps under duty the Officer of the Medical staff from the Head Quarters.

1) The Protection Branch may be consulted in the first instance

DP MSK
10/1

Gen Secy
11/1

Yes
RB
12/1/27

11/1

Draft Reply B. with Compts
MSK
13/1/28

13/1

CS
(30/1

Some of the manufacturers name not known now [illegible]
there is a proposal to give their explosive works. If this
is given, they will not be able to [illegible]
work. The Govt Contractors [illegible] the explosives
[illegible] orders

By
16/1

Reply

NOTE.—Continued sheet.

[illegible] 24-5-27

3411

Hm 5045

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 192 .

Serial No.

appointment of MS
Mir ~~Spl~~ might ~~xxx~~ have approached as
Lock up officer As a special case was
~~to~~ dealt with. The ~~exp imp~~
in expediency of appointments
as Lock up officers seems to have
been considered Mir. Please put up
the file

Ch 2

17/1

Sd

5 The Courts file headings for the last 4 years were gone into and there is no such file The Courts section may be requested to put up the file

18/1/28 (S.M.)

19/1

Mr Khan.

1. The file relating to the appointment of Spl Magistrate as Lock up officer K.G.F. is not traceable in this section. Other files on the subject are sent up

23/1 Supdt

Protection Bor
Lock Compds

JMSK
23/1

5. 28/1/28 Noted with reference to para 5 above

23/1

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0324—GPB—60,000—24-5-27

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 192 .

Serial No.

9 Kind reference is invited to the files put up in which proposals to place Special magistrates in charge of Lock ups were considered. The objection to the proposal is ~~that~~ seems to be that Magistrates should not Come in Contact with prisoners whose cases they are trying. An exception to this rule was made in the N.A.F. where for want of other Officers the Spl mgte is placed in charge of the Lock up. In French Rocks the Spl mgte is to be in charge in the absence of the Sub asstt Surgeon & the S.D.O.

Sub to for orders

24/1

(Sgd) A.D.

10 As the proposal is only to place the Spl magistrates in charge of Lock-ups during the absence of Subord officers, it may perhaps be sanctioned.

5958/25/1

"Ashem"

24.1

11 There seems to be no objection. Ref of Chief Court may be consulted hd.

24.1

Sgd 26/1

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

26/1

WD 0324 -GPB-60,000-24 5-27

26/1

10/1

3411

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.	File No. of 192 .

Serial No.

1917/18 Cr. 937 dt. 28.1.28

CHIEF COURT 28 JAN 1928 OF MYSORE.

Memo no: P 45 of 27 dt 28th January 1928.

12 Forwarded with compliments, respectfully to the Registrar Chief Court for favour of opinion on the proposals of the Inspector General of Prisons

By order

For Secretary to Government
Law Department

27.1.28

13 Returned with compliments.

Y | Dis. No 157 27-28

14 The Chief Court is of opinion that, on principle, it is inexpedient to put Special Magistrates in charge of Lock-ups. With the scheme of itinerant Magistracy, retrenchment, and combination of Civil and Magisterial functions whereever practicable, the Special Magistrates will hardly find the time. When they also move out other officers will have to be appointed in their place. On the whole the Chief Court is not in favour of the proposal.

A1

Chief Court of Mysore,
Bangalore, dated 10th February 1928.

Registrar.

VSM.

1634 14/2

157 Sent with reference to para 11.

Secy)

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 0321 -GPB—60,000—24 5-27

5065

3411/P

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 192

Serial No.

6 From A and Secn that it is not clear that this was intended as a temp[y] measure. In Travancore Dist Judges are lock up Offrs

7 I wd suggest the Rule being changed so as to permit the DJC or DJ Magte to post as Offr Subordinate to him ~~to~~ who is present at headquarters in temp[y] charge when the permanent Offr is absent from the Station on leave or ot

8 Please pursue a suitable amendment with Manual & issue C.C. also

Be
15/2/25

15/2

(G.M. Bruce) 16/2

9 As please
Secy

2/2

Govt Central Press
Govt contractors

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0321—GPB —60,000—24-5-27

5045

App. F. III—2] b

General and Revenue Secretariat. Rems. File No. 551 of 1927

Serial No.

20 Reparagraph 18 14 Para. The following amendment to Rule 23(1) is proposed.

In Rule 23(1) for the words "the Dt Treasury officer shall be" substitute the words "the Dy Comm^r and Magistrate of the Dt shall may put any officer subordinate to him."

After amendment the Rule will read as follows:—

20 Rule 23(1). In the absence of the Dt Medical officer (who is usually the Dt Medical officer) from the headquarters, the medical officer next in rank and above the rank of a Sub Asst Surgeon, shall be in charge of the Dt Lockup, and when no such medical assistant is available, the Dy Comm^r and Magistrate of the Dt shall put any officer subordinate to him in charge."

21 If the above amendment is approved the Chief Court may again be consulted unofficially as desired.

Sd/ For order

27/2 22 for favour of further acts.

Sec. 7/3

P.N. Seeks for Worcester

23 The amendment suggested above

NOTE—Contn. sheet

3411/P

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.	File No.	of 192

Serial No.

is in accordance with order in para 17
24 cases with reference to para 18.
Secy)
12th Mar.

7265/6/3

25 C.C. may be supplied.

6/3/28

U.O.No.P 5027 of 7.3.1928.

Cw 1116

To forwarded with compliments to the Registrar, Chief Court, with reference to para 25. The proposed amendment of Rule 23(1) of the Lockup Manual is contained in para 20.

T Sivanandayya
for Secy to Govt
Law Dept
7/3/28

CHIEF COURT
7 - MAR. 1928
OF MYSORE.

NOTE —Contn. sheet

WD 0324—GPB—60,000—21-5-27

General and Revenue Secretariat.	File No.	of 192

Serial No.

19 | 28 No. 208
27-28

Chief Court of Mysore,
Bangalore, 4th April 1928.

27 Returned with compliments with the opinion of the Judges :-

28 The proposal is to invest the Deputy Commissioner and the District Magistrate with power to place any officer subordinate to him in charge of the Lock-up in the absence of the District - Lock-up officer. In this connection kind reference is invited to the Prisons Act of 1870 under Section 54 of which the Lock-up rules were framed. Under Section 8 of the Prisons Act it is the Government that should appoint the Superintendent who in the case of a Lock-up is called the Lock-up officer. Under Section 56 of the same Act all or any of the powers and duties conferred and imposed by this Act on a Superintendent may be exercised and performed by such other officer as the Government from time to time appoints in this behalf. The effect of these two sections is that it is the Government alone that can appoint the Superintendent or any other officer to perform the functions of a Superintendent. There is no provision in - the Prisons Act under which Government may delegate this power of appointment to any other officer. The proposed rule by which this power of appoint-

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

ment

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 192

Serial No.

appointment is sought to be delegated to the District Magistrate or the Deputy Commissioner is therefore ultra vires the Act. Government have the power under clause (1) of Section 54 to make rules for the Government of Prisons but that will not enable them to make a rule authorising the District Magistrate or Deputy Commissioner to appoint a Superintendent, as such a rule will be inconsistent with the Act and therefore ultra vires

29 There is one other point for consideration. The Prisons Act of 1870 was introduced into Mysore under the Government of India Notification dated the 4th September 1879. Under that Notification a modification was made in Section 13 by omitting the words "the Magistrate of the District". But in the corresponding section of the Code in British India they have retained District Magistrate - Vide Section 11 clause (2) of Prisons Act of 1894. The effect of this is that in Mysore the Superintendent is not subordinate to the District Magistrate but only to the Deputy Commissioner. But Chapter III of the Mysore Lock-up Manual which contains the rules shows that "District Magistrate" is mentioned therein as the authority having power over the Lock-up officer. It is not clear why the expression "District Magistrate" is still kept on in the rules while under the Act those words were

NOTE—Contn sheet.

expressly

WD 0324 -GPB-60,000-24 5-27

341/37/5065 40

expressly excluded. Perhaps the Madras Rules were adopted here without noticing that unlike Madras the District Magistrate has no place in our Regulation. In any event the delegation cannot be made to the District Magistrate as - under the Regulation it is the Deputy Commissioner and not the District Magistrate that - can, as pointed out above, exercise control over the Superintendence of the Lock-up office.

REGISTRAR.

TKV.

8/4

30) In view of the opinion expressed in paras 24-28 Rule 230 of the Lock-up Manual Cannot be amended as suggested in para 20 - may perhaps be left aside -

31) In the words mentioned in para 29 the words "Dog-bite" may be substituted for "Scorpion" in Chapter III of the Lock-up Manual. This correction has to be made in some other Rules also. Hence - now The file may be returned to the Prisons Section -

10/4

Secy)

Cer
Prisons Secy
11/4 Prisons Section

8/4
10/4

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 192

Serial No.

Ref members notes at para 17 supra.

32) In view of the opinion of the Chief Court at para 28 supra the idea of changing the rule (23(1)) of the Lock up manual has to be abandoned.

8817/26/4

33) The only change suggested by the Chief Court at para 29 may perhaps be accepted if approved a draft amendment will be put up for approval

Rly 20/4

(Gen. Secy)

Joint member RB 25/4/28 Rly 26/4

34) The Chief Court ~~in~~ approving nor opposed to the measure but say that it ~~must~~ be opposed to the Act. They nor add a section

35) ~~Govt~~ delegate to Govts power to D.C & Dr. magte the power to ~~delegate~~ appoint Supt during temporary vacancies & also add the word

NOTE.—Contn. sheet

WD 0321—GPB—60,000—24-5-27

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. of 192 .

Serial No.

Dt. Maybe in lieu of the place, as in Bor. India?

34) Please Examn & Legal Remembrancer put this of the apex,

Dc
9025/3/5 — 7/4 RR 28/4/28

Legal Remembrancer
With Compts —

37) My opinion is placed below

Govt Secy
with Compts

RR 1-5-28

First Member RR 2/5/28

38) The question is not about appg Supts; but filling up Casual vacancies, if Supt is a town inspr, or takes Casual leave.

35) Please find out what actual practice in Madras

40) Ac hon mr the taxing for making a rule as suggested by L M & the Govt of the power

Dc

41) Please draft a circular A. tht. RR 4/5/28

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 0324 -GPB—60,000 24 5 27

O P I N I O N.

In the British Indian Act IX of 1894, a perusal of sections 11 and 62 as also rules 32 and 33 framed thereunder show that the power to appoint Superintendents cannot be delegated to the District Magistrates. On principle too, I am of opinion that the power to appoint such an important officer as the Superintendent or the Lock up officer should vest only in Government who may appoint on the recommendation of the District Magistrate. ~~As such~~ I am not therefore in favour of amending the Act.

As regards adding the words "District Magistrate" in section 13 of our Prisons Act, these words specifically omitted under the Notification of the Government of India dated 4th September 1879 whereby Act XXVI of 1870 was introduced into Mysore and the Superintendent was made to obey the orders of the Deputy Commissioner instead. No necessity for changing this has arisen. Since, however, as is pointed out by the Chief Court, the rules framed under the Prisons Act especially Chapter III give the power of superintendance to District Magistrates and not to Deputy Commissioners as is required by the Act, the rules may be suitably amended as suggested in para 31 above.

Legal Remembrancer
1. 5. 20.

General and Revenue Secretariat. Prisons File No. 59 of 192

Serial No.

Draft Rab. d

4 / 7/5

(1) Issue 11/5 8/5

2 The D G of Prisons in Mysore

G.12675 — 9.5.25

Requests to ascertain and report the corresponding rule and actual practice in Madras in the matter.

From the Same

No C627-6 dt 13 6 25

forwards copies of letters from the D.G. of Prisons in Madras stating that the actual practice prevailing in that presidency in the matter of Lockups (Sub jail) Charge during the absence from Headquarters of the permanent Officer in Charge of the Lockup

Subd. Reference paragraph 12 Members query answered by Depts

It is stated that in the case of the Special Sub jail under the direct control of the D G P. Madras the post of the Medical officer in charge of the Dt will be in charge and that during his temporary absence Standing

The file which was taken to the L.C. meeting in connection with a Resolution about Police in Municipal Lockups was received only today

JR 11/11

NOTE—Contn. sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. 57 of 192 7.

Serial No.

The Medical officer himself assumes charge. The Superintendent of Jails refers to perhaps corresponding to the Dt Surgeons in the State and the arrangements for their Journeys are contained in Rule 23(1) of the Leave Manual Part II.

It is therefore seen that there are no arrangements in Madras for filling up a casual vacancy in a Dt Leave by one of the Medical officers and his Assistant

Orders

The conditions in the Madras Presy are different from those here. Every Dmo in Madras has a Gazetted Assistant while in Mysore the Dmo has not.

KP

3/6 Draft forwarding the D.P.I. to submit the necessary additional amendments to the Leave Manual to at para 29 as 31 is also put up

as per draft submitted

The P.W.D is submitted for perusal and with reference to Secretariat orders at para 39 supra.

As regards para 40. a

NOTE—Contn. sheet

1510

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 192

Serial No.

draft amendment is submitted for approval.

In view of the opinion of the Chief Court at paras 14 + 28 supra, and the opinion of the Legal Remembrancer, the idea of amending rule 23(1) of the Lock up manual, to enable Special magistrates being placed in charge of lock ups during the temporary absence of the O.C. from headquarters, or to authorize the D.C. to post an Officer subordinate to him on such occasions to the guard up.

1194
28/7

9/7
14/7

(Secy)

Third Member
Legal Remembrancer

RB
27/7/28
27/7
27.7

Secy - to approve

RB
28/7/28
28/7

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28.

10601

5665

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. Part File No. 51 of 1927.

Serial No.		
4	30	To S.P.s Presidency To Registrar, High Court To Dep.s do To do Nazir & do To Comptroller to Govt. No 9 1720-39 dt 8.8.1928 Sanctions certain amendments to the Lockup manual Draft reply to the D.G.P. on his proposal to placing Spl Magistrate at Headqrs in charge of Lockups as Sub-D Issued 16/8
5		To S.P.s Presidency No 9 2214 dt 16.8.28 States the proposal for placing Spl Magistrate in charge of lockups may be dropped

To file
Reply
P. copies
to HC
HC
D 25/8

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

Gm 55

No.C.627-2 Office of the Inspector-General of Prisons.

Enc...one.. With the Government of Mysore,

Dated, Bangalore, 23rd December 1927.

Pris 51-27-1

From:

B. Mahomed Usmon, Esq., L.M.&.S.,
Inspector-General of Prisons, in Mysore, Bangalore.

To,

R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
General Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, BANGALORE.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith for favour of perusal copy of letter No J.C. 226/27-28 dated 15th December 1927 from the District Magistrate, Tumkur, I have the honor to state that in view of the reasons set fourth by him it is very convenient for the due performance of the Lock-Up work to have the special magistrates placed in charge of the Lock-Up duties during the periods absence of the District Medical Officer from the Head Quarters.

I request under the circumstances to obtain sanction of Government to alter the rule of the Lock-Ups Manual so that in all the District Head Quarters where special Magistrates are available they may be placed in charge of Lock-Up dutiees during the absence of the District Medical Officer from the Head Quarters.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

B Mahomed

Inspector-General of Prisons, M.G.

S.V.22-12

Letter No. J.C. 226/27-28 from dated the 15th December 1927 from the District Magistrate, Tumkur to the Inspector-General of Prisons, in Mysore, Bangalore.

I have the honor to state that rule 23 (1) of Lock-Up Manual says that " in the absence of the District Lock-Up Officer (who is usually the Medical Officer next in rank and above the rank of a Sub Assistant Surgeon shall be in charge of the District Lock Up and when no such Medical Assistant is available, the District Treasury Officer shall be in charge".

2) At present, no officer above the rank of the Sub Assistant Surgeon is available at Tumkur and the District Treasury Officer has to be in charge of the District Lock-Up during the absence of the District Medical Officer from Head Quarters. The District Treasury Officer's Work is heavy and does not permit him to be in charge of the Lock-Up on all such occasions without prejudice to his work. He has besides the routine work of the Deputy Commissioner's Office, which is not light, charge of a Taluk and he is the District Excise Officer of the Tumkur Taluk and Income-tax Officer of the Tumkur and Kunigal taluks. He has to be in charge of the current duties of the District Superintendent of Police and the Sub Division Officer of Gubbi, if they happen to go on short leave. It so happened that during this month, the District Medical Officer had to be touring frequently on his annual inspections and the District Treasury Officer took had very heavy work.

3) The rule now in force was framed perhaps at a time when the District Treasury Officer was the only stationery Officer available at District Head Quarters. As there are now two

two special Magistrates at Tumkur, I think that either of them may be placed in charge of the Lock-Up, when the District Medical Officer goes out on tour or is absent on short leave. I request that the rules may be amended so as to permit me to place either of the two Magistrates in charge of the Lock-Up on such occasions.

C o p y

Inspector-General of Prisons, M.G.

S.V./22-12

A. F. F. VI. G1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

8/1

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section Prisons

File No. 57-27

Serial No. 2

No. G 12575

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

To The D.I.G. of Prisons

in Mysore

Bangalore

Date of issue 9/5/28

Signed by Mr. M.S.

Sir,

I am directed to refer to your letter No C627-2 dated 23.12.27 requesting ~~to obtain~~ Sanction to 23(1) G.O.I. to alter the Rule of the Lockup manual so that while the H.Q. Head quarters where Special Magistrates are available they may be placed in charge of lockup duties during the absence of

over

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

The D.A. Medical officer from the
Head quarters, I am directed to ~~to~~ his report
request you to ascertain the corresponding rule
~~Report~~ and the actual practice prevailing
in Madras ~~in Bengal~~ in the matter.

Thanks

GLBy

Pris/1316

No C627-6 Office of the Inspector-General of Prisons
in Mysore,
Dated Bangalore, 13th June 1928.

Pri 10601

From

The Inspector-General of Prisons
in Mysore, Bangalore.

Pris 51-27-3

To

The General Secretary to the Government
of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.12575/Pris.51-27-2 dated 9th May 1928, I have the honour to forward herewith, for your information, copy of letter No. 7500 dated 9th June 1928 from the Inspector-General of Prisons, Madras, stating the actual practice prevailing in that Presidency in the matter of Lock-up (Sub Jail) charge during the absence from Head Quarters of the permanent Officer in charge of the Lock-up.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Inspector-General of Prisons.

avl.

24

Letter No. 7500 dated 9th June 1928 from the Inspector-General of Prisons Madras, to the Inspector-General of Prisons in Mysore, Bangalore.

-*-*-*-*-*-*-*-*-*-*-

Reference:- Your letter No. C.627-4 dated the 21st May 1928 Charge of Sub Jails during temporary absence of permanent incumbent

---

I have the honour to state that the Special Sub Jails under my direct control are in charge of the Assistant to the Medical Officers of the District with effect from 6th February 1926 and during his temporary absence from duty the Medical Officer himself assumes charge. In the case of the other ordinary Sub Jails under the control of the District Magistrates, it is presumed that the necessary arrangement is made by the District Magistrate or the Sub Divisional Officer as the case may be.

-*-*-*-*-*-*-*-*-*-*-

"C O P Y"

Inspector-General of Prisons.

June 1928.

avl.

13
Pris 51-28-7-h

N and P.

Proceedings of the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Order No. G. 1720-39/5/1

Dated Bangalore, 8-8-28.

Government observe that under Section 13 of the Prisons Act of 1870 as introduced into Mysore under the Government of India Notification dated the 4th September 1879 the controlling authority for District and other Lock-ups is the Deputy Commissioner of the District and not the District Magistrate. They are therefore pleased to sanction the following amendment to the Lock-up Manual:-

For the words "District Magistrate" occuring in the several places of the Mysore Lock-up Manual as detailed in the appended statement, substitute the words "Deputy Commissioner".

The I.G. of Prisons is requested to issue the necessary correction slips to Mysore Lockup Manual.

RG
27/7/28

General Secretary to Government.

To

The I.G. of Prisons in Mysore.
" Registrar, Chief Court, with a by Court, INSC. letter.
" District Magistrates of Districts.
" Comptroller to Govt.

CP.

Mersey Docks Manual.

Chapter.	Rule.	~~Heading~~. Lines.
III	11 Heading	Lines. 2,3 and 5
"	12	" 1
"	13	" 1
"	14	" 1
IV.	20	" 4 and 6
VI.	37	" 6 ?
"	39	" 3
"	40	" 3 ?
"	42	" 5 ?
"	43(2)	" 4 ?
VII.	46(2)	" 2
VIII.	70	" 2
IX.	79 (2)	" 2 ?
XVII.	156	" 3 ✓
XIX.	159(1)	" 2 ✓
"	159(2)	" 1 ✓
"	160(1)	" 2 ✓
"	161	" 1
XX.	163(g)	" 3
Lock up form No. 1. Note.		" 2

-/14/

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

O r d e r--No.

Dated Bangalore, the August 1928.

Government observe that under Section 14 of the Prisons Act of 1870 as introduced into Mysore under Government of India Notification dated the 4th September 1879 the controlling Authority for District and other Lock-ups is the Deputy Commissioner of the District and not the District Magistrate. They are therefore pleased to sanction the following amendment to the Lock-up Manual.

For the words "District Magistrate" occuring in the several places of the Mysore Lock-up Manual as detailed in the appended statement, substitute the words "Deputy Commissioner".

The Inspector General of Prisons is requested to issue the necessary correction slips to the Mysore Lock-up Manual

M C Seshadri
By General Secretary to Government.

To

The Inspector General of Prisons in Mysore.
The Registrar, High Court of Mysore, with a covering letter.
Deputy Commissioners of Districts.
Superintendent, Mysore Government.

The Mysore Lockup Manual

Chapter.	Rule.	Lines.
III	Heading.	
III	11	Lines. 2,3 and 5
"	12	" 1
"	13	" 1
"	14	" 1
IV	20	" 4 and 6
VI	37	" 6
"	39	" 3
"	40	" 3
"	42	" 5
"	43 (2)	" 4
VII	46 (2)	" 2
VIII	76	" 2
IX	79 (2)	" 2
XVII	156	" 3
XIX	159 (1)	" 2
"	159 (2)	" 1
"	160 (1)	" 2
"	161	" 1
XX.	163 (c)	" 3
Lock up form No.1	Note.	" 2

S. O.

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

G. O. No. G. 1720-39— Pris. 51,27-4, dated 8th August 1928.

Deputy Commissioner.

Sanctions that the words — — be substituted for the words "District Magistrate" occurring in the several places of the Mysore Lock-up Manual.

ORDER No. G. 1720-39—PRIS. 51-27-4, DATED 8TH AUGUST 1928.

Government observe that under section 13 of the Prisons Act of 1870, as introduced into Mysore under Government of India Notification dated the 4th September 1879, the controlling authority for District and other Lock-ups is the Deputy Commissioner of the District and not the District Magistrate. They are therefore pleased to sanction the following amendment to the Lock-up Manual.

For the words "District Magistrate" occurring in the several places of the Mysore Lock-up Manual as detailed in the appended statement, substitute the words "Deputy Commissioner".

The Inspector-General of Prisons is requested to issue the necessary correction slips to the Mysore Lock-up Manual.

R. RANGA RAO,
General Secretary to Government.

To—The Inspector-General of Prisons in Mysore.
The Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore, with a covering letter.
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.
The District Magistrates of Districts.
The Comptroller, Mysore Government.

Exd.—P. S. R. N.

No. G. 1720-39—Pris. 51-27-4, dated 8th August 1928.

The Mysore Lock-up Manual.

Chapter	Rule	Lines
III ..	Heading.	
III ..	11	Lines 2, 3 and 5
,, ..	12	Line 1
..	13	,, 1
,, ..	14	,, 1
IV ..	20	Lines 4 and 6
VI ..	37	Line 6
..	39	,, 3
,, ..	40	,, 3
,, ..	42	,, 5
,, ..	43 (2)	,, 4
VII ..	46 (2)	,, 2
VIII ..	70	,, 2
IX ...	79 (2)	,, 2
XVII ..	156	,, 3
XIX ..	159 (1)	,, 2
,, ..	159 (2)	,, 1
,, ..	160 (1)	,, 2
,, ..	161	,, 1
XX ..	163 (g)	,, 3
Lock-up form No. 1 ..	Note.	,, 2

W D 523- GPB—540—16-8-28

A. P. F. VI. G1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

15/8

Section Prisons

File No. 87. 27

Serial No. 5

No. G2214

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To The D.G. of Prisons

in Rangoon

Date of issue 16.8.28

Signed by Mr. M.S

Sir,

With reference to your Correspondence ending with your letter No C 627-6 dated 13.6.28 requesting Sanction of Govt to alter the Rule of the Lockups Manual so that in all cases of Head quarters where Special Magistrates are available they may be placed in charge of Lockups duties during the absence of the Medical

over.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by :.....

16/8

Examined by .....

WD0325—GPB—30,000—26-5-27

officer from the Head quarters
I am directed to state
~~that as it would be inexpedient~~
~~to put Special Magistrate in~~
~~Charge of Lockups~~ the proposal
may be dropped.

Yours
[illegible]
C Sp
2
1/9/8